

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/778,516	LO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Daniel M. Sullivan.

(3) _____.

(2) Jianming Hao.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5/9/05 and 6/1/05

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the 9 May interview, Applicant's representative was informed that the amendment filed 20 April 2005 was incomplete because it did not include a statement clearly directing entry of the substitute sequence listing into the specification and did not include a statement that the amendment does not add new matter. The 1 June interview was initiated by the Examiner to obtain authorization for the Examiner's amendment mailed herewith..